

## Introduction

- broad physics program covered by LHC experiments
- 2 general purpose pp experiments (ATLAS and CMS) cover: SM QCD/W/Z/top, Higgs, SUSY, Exotics, (b-physics) ...
$\Rightarrow$ LHCb as dedicated b-physics experiment (forward physics)
- ALICE as a heavy ion experiment
- detectors designed to optimize physics performance
$\Rightarrow$ at design luminosities ( $10^{34} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) and pileup ( $\sim 23$ min.bias events)
$\Rightarrow$ b-physics trigger (LHCb)
$\Rightarrow$ heavy ion "central" event multiplicities (ALICE)
- task of event reconstruction is to identify objects

C e/ $\mu / \tau$ leptons, photons, (b) jets, missing $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{T},}$ exclusive hadronic states...
$\Rightarrow$ input to physics analysis of complete event signature
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## Tracking at the LHC

- object reconstruction to cover LHC physics program
$\Rightarrow$ often requires combining information from tracking detector with calorimetric and muon spectrometer measurements
- TRACKING is a central aspect of the event reconstruction and analysis


## - requirements on tracking detectors

$\Rightarrow$ precision tracking at LHC luminosities (central heavy ion event multiplicities) with a hermitic detector
$\Rightarrow$ usually Pixel/Strip Detector for precise primary/secondary vertex reconstruction and to provide excellent b-tagging in jets
$\Rightarrow$ reconstruction of electrons (and converted photons)
$\Rightarrow$ tracking of muons combined with muon spectrometer, good resolution over the full accessible momentum range

- enable (hadronic) tau, exclusive b- and c-hadron reconstruction
- provide particle identification, e.g.:
- transition radiation in ATLAS TRT/ALICE TRD for electron identification
- dE/dx in Pixels/Silicon or ALICE TPC, Cherenkov detectors (LHCb)
-> not to forget: enable fast tracking for (high level) trigger


## Evolution of (Silicon) Detectors

- LEP eg. DELPHI (1996)
- $1.8 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ of silicon
- 175 k readout channels

- CDF SVX IIa (2001)
- $6 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ of silicon
- 175k channels
- CMS tracker
- full silicon tracker
- $210 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ of silicon
- 10.7 M channels
- results from huge technology advancements to match requirements of every generation of experiments


## Example for an LHC Tracking Detector

- answer of the experiments to match physics requirements
- ATLAS:
- 3 layer Pixel system, 3 endcap disks
- 1744 Pixel modules
- 80.4 million channels
- pitch $50 \mu \mathrm{~m} \times 400 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
- total of $1.8 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
- 4 layers of small angle stereo strips, 9 endcap disks each side (SCT)
- 4088 double sided modules
- 6.3 million channels
- pitch $80 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 40 \mathrm{mrad}$ stereo angle
- total of $60 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
- Transition Radiation Tracker (TRT)
- typically 36 hits per track
- transition radiation to identify electrons

- total of 370K drift tubes


## Outline of Lectures on next 3 Days

- part 1 ~ Passage of Particles through Matter
- part 2 ~ LHC Tracking Detectors
- part 3 ~ Concepts for Track Reconstruction
- part 4 ~ Vertex Reconstruction and its Applications
- part 5 ~ Commissioning, Alignment and Performance
- part 6 ~ High Luminosity and Upgrade


## Feedback Welcome !

- first time I give this lecture series
a after years in this field I may take things for granted which in reality are technicalities that need to be explained
- will try to give a balanced overview on tracking and vertexing relevant for all LHC experiments
- material presented is probably biased towards ATLAS
- easier for me to find the relevant plots, etc.
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## Passage of Particles through Matter

- any device that is to detect a particle must interact with it in some way
$\Rightarrow$ well, almost...
$\Rightarrow$ in many experiments neutrinos are measured by missing transverse momentum
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## Outline of Part 1

- overview of charged particle interactions with matter
$\square$ provide not only the means to detect charged particles
- important as well to understand they affect the tracking performance of
C. energy loss
$\Rightarrow$ multiple scattering
- Bremsstrahlung
- hadronic interactions
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particles are detected through their interaction with the active detector materials

- energy loss by ionization
primary ionisation can generate secondary ionisation

typically:
total ionization $\approx 3 \times$ primary ionization
$\Rightarrow \sim 90$ electrons/cm in gas at 1 bar

- not directly used for particle identification by ATLAS/CMS
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## Charged Particle Interactions with Matter

- particles are detected through their interaction with the active detector materials
- energy loss by ionisation
- Bremsstrahlung
due to interaction with Coulomb field of nucleus
dominant energy loss mechanism for electrons down to low momenta ( $\sim 20 \mathrm{MeV}$ )
initiates EM cascades (showers)
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## Charged Particle Interactions with Matter

- particles are detected through their interaction with the active detector materials
- Energy loss by ionization
Bremsstrahlung
- multiple scattering
charged particles traversing a medium are deflected by many successive small-angle scatters
angular distribution ~ Gaussian

$$
\sigma_{\mathrm{MS}} \sim 1 / \mathrm{p} *\left(x / X_{0}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

but also large angles from Rutherford scattering $\sim \sin ^{-4}(\theta / 2)$
$\Rightarrow$ complicates track fitting, limits momentum measurement
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- particles are detected through their interaction with the active detector materials
- energy loss by ionization
- Bremsstrahlung
- multiple scattering
- radiation length
material thickness in detector is measured in terms of dominant energy loss reactions at high energies:
- Bremsstrahlung for electrons
- pair production for photons
definition:
$X_{0}=$ length over which an electron loses all but 1/e of its energy by bremsstrahlung
$=7 / 9$ of mean free path length of photon before pair production
describe material thickness in units of $X_{0}$
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## Charged Particle Interactions with Matter

particles are detected through their interaction with the active detector materials

- energy loss by ionisation
- radiation length
- Bremsstrahlung
- Cherenkov radiation
- multiple scattering


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { a relativistic charge particle traversing a } \\
& \text { dielectric medium with refraction index } \\
& n>1 / \beta \text { emits Cherenkov radiation in cone } \\
& \text { with angle } \theta_{C} \text { around track: } \cos \theta_{C}=(n \beta)^{-1} \\
& \text { Charged particle with } \\
& \text { momentum } \beta \\
& \text { light cone emission when passing thin medium } \\
& \text { detector types RICH (LHCb), DIRC, Aerogel } \\
& \text { counters (not employed by ATLAS/CMS)) }
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Charged Particle Interactions with Matter

particles are detected through their interaction with the active detector materials

- energy loss by ionization
- radiation length
- Bremsstrahlung
- Cherenkov radiation
multiple scattering
- transition radiation
photon radiation when charged ultrarelativistic particles traverse the boundary of two different dielectric media (foil \& air)
foil air
(polarized) (unpolarized)
electron with boost $\gamma$

$\Rightarrow$ significant radiation for $\gamma>1000$ and $>100$ boundaries


## Effects are visible by Eye...



- give rise to beautiful old bubble-chamber photos
- energy loss by ionization, $\delta$-electrons, pair production, ...


## ... as well in modern Detectors



## History of Energy Loss Calculations: dE/dx

1915: Niels Bohr, classical formula, Nobel prize 1922. 1930: non-relativistic formula found by Hans Bethe 1932: relativistic formula by Hans Bethe

Bethe's calculation is leading order in perturbation theory, thus only $z^{2}$ terms are included.

## additional corrections:

- $z^{3}$ corrections calculated by Barkas+Andersen
- correction calculated by Felix Bloch (Nobel prize 1952,for nuclear magnetic resonance). Although the formula is called Bethe-Bloch formula the $z^{4}$ term is usually not included.
- shell corrections: atomic electrons are not stationary
- density corrections: by Enrico Fermi (Nobel prize 1938, for discovery of nuclear reaction induced by slow neutrons)


Hans Bethe 1906-2005

Born in Strasbourg, emigrated to US in 1933. Professor at Cornell U. Nobel prize 1967 for theory of nuclear processes in stars.

## The Bethe-Bloch Formula

$$
-\left\langle\frac{d E}{d x}\right\rangle=K z^{2} \frac{Z}{A} \frac{1}{\beta^{2}}\left[\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{2 m_{e} c^{2} \beta^{2} \gamma^{2} T_{\max }}{I^{2}}-\beta^{2}-\frac{\delta(\beta \gamma)}{2}\right]
$$

F characteristics of the energy loss as a function of the particle velocity ( $\beta \gamma$ )

G with

- z $\sim$ charge of incident particle
- Z ~ atomic number of absorber
- A ~ atomic mass of absorber

$$
\frac{K}{A}=4 \pi N_{A} r_{e}^{2} m_{e} c^{2} / A=0.307075 \mathrm{MeV} \mathrm{~g}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{2}, \text { for } \mathrm{A}=1 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}
$$

- I ~ mean excitation energy of absorber
- $T_{\max } \sim$ maximum energy transfer in a single collision

$$
T_{\max }=\frac{2 m_{e} c^{2} \beta^{2} \gamma^{2}}{1+2 \gamma m_{e} / M+\left(m_{e} / M\right)^{2}}
$$

- $\delta(\beta \gamma) \sim$ density effect correction to ionization loss

C $x=\rho s \sim$ surface density or mass thickness, with unit $g / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$, $\boldsymbol{s}$ is the length ( $d E / d x$ has the units $\mathrm{MeV} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{2} / \mathrm{g}$ )

## The Bethe-Bloch Formula

Bethe-Bloch formula:

$-\frac{d E}{d x}=K z^{2} \frac{Z}{A} \frac{1}{\beta^{2}}\left[\frac{1}{2} \ln f(\beta)-\beta^{2}-\frac{\delta(\beta \gamma)}{2}\right]$
except in hydrogen, particles of the same velocity have similar energy loss in different materials.

Fermi plateau: density effect, polarization of medium "screens" particle charge
classical $1 / \beta^{2}$ dependency (Rutherford Scattering)

## Particle Identification using dE/dx

- energy loss depends on particle velocity
$\Rightarrow$ ~ independent of particle mass $M$
- as a function of particle momentum
$\Rightarrow p=M c \beta \gamma$ depends on particle mass !
- application in an experiment:
$\rightarrow$ measure momentum from curvature of particle track in magnetic field
$\Rightarrow$ measure ionization along the track



## Fluctuations in Energy Loss

from L. Ropelewski
Real detector (limited granularity) can not measure $\langle d E / d x>$ !
It measures the energy $\Delta E$ deposited in a layer of finite thickness $\delta x$.
For thin layers or low density materials:
$\rightarrow$ Few collisions, some with high energy transfer.

$\rightarrow$ Energy loss distributions show large fluctuations towards high losses: "Landau tails"


Example: Si sensor: $300 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ thick. $\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{m} . \mathrm{p}} \sim 82 \mathrm{keV} \quad<\Delta \mathrm{E}>\sim 115 \mathrm{keV}$

For thick layers and high density materials:
$\rightarrow$ Many collisions.
$\rightarrow$ Central Limit Theorem $\rightarrow$ Gaussian shaped distributions.


## Multiple Scattering

- a particle which traverses a medium is deflected
$\Rightarrow$ by small angle Coulomb scattering in field of nuclei
$\rightarrow$ for hadronic particles as well the strong interaction contributes

- angular deflection after traversing a distance $\mathbf{x}$
- described by the Molière theory
$\Rightarrow$ angle has roughly a Gaussian distribution, but with larger tails due to Coulomb scattering
- Gaussian approximation

$$
\Delta \Theta=\frac{13.6 \mathrm{MeV}}{\beta c p} z \sqrt{x / X_{0}}\left[1+0.038 \ln \left(x / X_{0}\right)\right]
$$



- $x / X_{0} \sim$ thickness of material in units of radiation length
- z ~ charge of the particle


## Illustration of M.S. Effect

- toy simulation
$\Rightarrow$ simulation of single particle traversing a set of individual thin material layers
- single scattering steps accumulate
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## Illustration of M.S. Effect

- toy simulation
$\Rightarrow$ simulation of single particle traversing a set of individual thin material layers
- single scattering steps accumulate

C repeat N times:

- central limit theorem predicts gaussian distribution
- sometimes we experience the effect



## Effect on Momentum Resolution

## - magnetic spectrometer

$\Rightarrow$ charged particle describes a circle in a magnetic field

$$
p_{T}[\mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}]=0.3 \cdot B[\mathrm{~T}] \cdot R[\mathrm{~m}]
$$

$\Rightarrow$ measure sagitta s of arc to determine curvature $\mathbf{R}$

$$
R=\frac{L^{2}}{8 s}+\frac{s}{2} \approx \frac{L^{2}}{8 s}
$$



- put into upper equation results in $p_{T} \equiv p_{T}(s)$
$\Rightarrow$ relative error on momentum equals relative error on sagitta

$$
\frac{\sigma_{p_{T}}}{p_{T}}=\frac{8 p_{T}}{0.3 B L^{2}} \sigma_{S}
$$

$\Rightarrow$ hence relative momentum uncertainty is proportional to momentum $\mathbf{p}_{\boldsymbol{T}}$ times sagitta uncertainty $\sigma_{s}$

- as well, one wants large field B and long path length $\mathbf{L}$


## Effect on Momentum Resolution

- multiple scattering contribution to momentum uncertainty

- putting things together gives

$$
\frac{\sigma_{P T}}{P_{T}}=\frac{8 p_{T} \sigma_{S}}{0.3 B L^{2}} \oplus \frac{0.05}{B L} \sqrt{\frac{x}{X_{0}}} \approx a p_{T} \oplus b
$$

- $\mathbf{a} \sim$ resolution term dominating at high $p_{T}$
b ~ multiple scattering term limiting at low pT
$\Rightarrow$ sagitta uncertainly from $\mathbf{N}$ points, each with resolution $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\mathbf{R} \phi}$

$$
\sigma_{S}=\sqrt{\frac{A_{N}}{N+4}} \frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{R} \phi}}{8} \quad \text { Statistical factor } \underset{\substack{A_{N} \\ \text { (Gluckstern) }}}{ }
$$

## Effect on Momentum Resolution

- multiple scattering contribution to momentum uncertainty

- putting things together gives

$$
\frac{\sigma_{P T}}{P_{T}}=\frac{8 p_{T} \sigma_{S}}{0.3 B L^{2}} \oplus \frac{0.05}{B L} \sqrt{\frac{x}{X_{0}}} \approx a p_{T} \oplus b
$$

$\Rightarrow$ a ~ resolution term dominating at high $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}$
b ~ multiple scattering term limiting at low pT $_{T}$
$\Rightarrow$ sagitta uncertainly from $\mathbf{N}$ points, each with resolution $\boldsymbol{O}_{\mathrm{R} \phi}$

$$
\sigma_{s}=\sqrt{\frac{A_{N}}{N+4}} \frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{R} \phi}}{8}
$$

## Effect on Impact Parameter Resolution

- uncertainty on the transverse impact parameter do
$\Rightarrow$ depends on the radii and space point precision
$\Rightarrow$ simplified formula for straight line and just two layers:

$$
\sigma_{d_{0}}^{2}=\frac{r_{2}^{2} \sigma_{1}^{2}+r_{1}^{2} \sigma_{2}^{2}}{\left(r_{2}-r_{1}\right)^{2}}
$$

$\Rightarrow$ suggests: small $r_{1}$, large $r_{2}$, small $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}$


- precision is degraded by multiple scattering

$$
\Delta d_{0}=r \tan \Delta \Theta \approx r \Delta \Theta=r \frac{0.0136}{\beta c p} \sqrt{\frac{x}{X_{0}}}
$$

- at low momentum scattering contribution becomes large
$\Rightarrow$ best precision if small radius $\mathbf{r}$ and minimum thickness $\mathbf{x}$



## Effect on Impact Parameter Resolution

- for tracks with $\Theta \neq 90^{\circ}: r \rightarrow r / \sin \theta x \rightarrow x / \sin \theta$

| $\sigma_{d_{0}}$ | $\approx \sqrt{\frac{r_{2}^{2} \sigma_{1}^{2}+r_{1}^{2} \sigma_{2}^{2}}{\left(r_{2}-r_{1}\right)^{2}} \oplus \frac{r}{p \sin ^{3 / 2} \theta} 13.6 \mathrm{MeV} \sqrt{\frac{x}{x_{0}}}}$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| $\sigma_{d_{0}}$ | $\approx a \oplus \frac{b}{p_{T} \sin ^{1 / 2} \theta}$ |



- constant term describing resolution
- multiple scattering term decreasing with pT
- similarly momentum resolution term becomes:

$$
\frac{\sigma_{p_{T}}}{p_{T}} \approx a \cdot p_{T} \oplus \frac{b}{\sin ^{1 / 2} \theta}
$$
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- multiple scattering term decreasing with pT
- similarly momentum resolution term becomes:

$$
\frac{\sigma_{p_{T}}}{p_{T}} \approx a \cdot p_{T} \oplus \frac{b}{\sin ^{1 / 2} \theta}
$$




## Bremsstrahlung

- charged particle deflected by field of nucleus
$\rightarrow$ deflecting a charged particle means "acceleration"

$\rightarrow$ therefore radiates a photon $\rightarrow$ Bremsstrahlung
$\square$ effect is strong for light particles (electrons), as acceleration is large for given force
$\Rightarrow$ for heavier particles (muons), bremsstrahlung only important at energies of a few hundred GeV (important for ATLAS/CMS at the LHC!)
$\Rightarrow$ presence of a nucleus is required to restore energy-momentum conservation


## Bremsstrahlung

- charged particle deflected by field of nucleus
$\rightarrow$ deflecting a charged particle means "acceleration"

C) therefore radiates a photon $\rightarrow$ Bremsstrahlung
$\Rightarrow$ effect is strong for light particles (electrons), as acceleration is large for given force
$\rightarrow$ for heavier particles (muons), bremsstrahlung only important at energies of a few hundred GeV (important for ATLAS/CMS at the LHC!)
$\Rightarrow$ presence of a nucleus is required to restore energy-momentum conservation
- Bremsstrahlung proportional to
- Z2/A and $\rho$ of the material
- $q^{4}$ and $1 / M^{2}$ of incoming particle
$\Rightarrow$ energy lost ~ proportional to energy of particle:

$$
E(x) \approx E_{0} e^{-x / X_{0}} \quad X_{0} \propto \frac{M^{2} A}{q^{4} \rho Z^{2}}
$$

- radiation length $X_{0} \sim$ characteristic amount of material traversed before it looses $1 / \mathrm{e}$ of its energy
- Bremsstrahlung of electrons in tracker material is limiting reconstruction efficiency!

important above critical energy $E_{c}$


## Pair-Production



- $\mathrm{Y}^{\rightarrow} \mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-}$conversion process in field of nucleus
$\Rightarrow$ described by diagram similar to Bremsstrahlung

- conversion probability:

$\Rightarrow$ radiation length $X_{0}$ is $7 / 9$ of mean free path for pair production by a high energy photon
$\Rightarrow$ pair production in tracker material main source of inefficiency for photons


## Pair-Production

- $\gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-}$conversion process in field of nucleus
$\Rightarrow$ described by diagram similar to Bremsstrahlung

- conversion probability:

$$
P(x) \propto e^{-\frac{7}{9} \frac{x}{X_{0}}}
$$

$\Rightarrow$ radiation length $X_{0}$ is $7 / 9$ of mean free path for pair production by a high energy photon
$\Rightarrow$ pair production in tracker material main source of inefficiency for photons

- together they give rise to electromagnetic showers
$\Rightarrow$ processes contributing to showers, detection in EM calorimeters



## Hadronic Interactions

- nuclear interaction length $\lambda$ : mean free path of hadrons between strong collisions

| material | $\lambda[\mathbf{c m}]$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Si | 45.5 |
| Fe | 16.8 |
| Pb | 17.1 |

interactions with nuclei lead to hadronic (HAD) showers

- $\lambda>X\left[X_{0}\right]$, can separate EM (close) from HAD (far) showers
- detection of HAD showers in hadronic calorimeters


## Hadronic Interactions

- nuclear interaction length $\lambda$ : mean free path of hadrons between strong collisions

| material | $\lambda[\mathbf{c m}]$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Si | 45.5 |
| Fe | 16.8 |
| Pb | 17.1 |

interactions with nuclei lead to hadronic (HAD) showers

- $\lambda>X\left[X_{0}\right]$, can separate EM (close) from HAD (far) showers
- detection of HAD showers in hadronic calorimeters

a hadronic shower consists of:
- EM energy (e.g., $\left.\pi^{0} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma\right) O(50 \%)$
- non-EM energy (e.g., dE/dx from $\left.\pi^{ \pm}, \mu^{ \pm}, K^{ \pm}\right) O(25 \%)$
- invisible energy
(nuclear fission/excitation, neutrons) O(25\%)
- escaped energy (e.g. neutrinos) $O(2 \%)$
hadronic shower in material of tracking detector is main source of inefficiency for pions, kaons and protons !


## Effect on Expected Performance

- ATLAS/CMS tracking resolution and efficiency mostly driven by interactions in detector material

- total weight of Inner Detector: 4.5 tons


## Let's Summarize...

- discussed the most relevant physics processes for particles passing through (detector) material
- discussed some of the consequences
$\Rightarrow$ provide the means to detect charged particles and to identify them
- measuring the ionization of charged particles in a medium (gas, silicon...)
- detecting transition and Cherenkov radiation
- as well, limiting factor for the performance of a detector
- e.g. multiple scattering effects or effects from hadronic interactions...
- next is to talk about LHC tracking detectors


[^0]:    Claus Grupen, Particle Detectors, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1996 (455 pp. ISBN 0-521-55216-8)

