The Exciting Years of DELPHI







Klaus Hamacher

 \bigcirc

CERN

Seminar in honour of **Dr. Klaus Hamacher**



First speaker is Dr. Markus Elsing, CERN

The Large Electron-Positron Collider

the LEP collider

L3

France

SPS

LEP story began in late 70th

- \Rightarrow machine to study the emerging picture of the unification of the electromagnetic and weak forces
- → beginning of 80th saw discovery of W and Z bosons by UA1 and UA2
- → 1981 LEP was formally approved

• construction to first collisions

- ➡ 1983 start of civil engineering for 27 km LEP tunnel and experimental halls
- → 4 experiments: ALEPH, **DELPHI**, L3 and OPAL
- → 13.August 1989 first collisions, less than 6 years later

another particle accelerator









very early $Z \rightarrow e^+e^-$ online display

OPAL

DELPHI

Switzerland

Geneva Airport

Physics Goals of the LEP Programme



•two phases of the experimental program

- → LEP-I running on the Z peak (1989-1995)
 - most events taken on peak, plus scans around the peak to measure Z resonance
- → LEP-II running above the WW threshold (1996-2000)
 - increasing the energy year-by-year from 163 GeV to 209 GeV



-EP EWWG

220

The DELPHI Experiment



- → 18 sub-detectors, many innovative technologies, e.g.:
 - Silicon Vertex Detector (VD) the first of its kind
 - Time Projection Chamber (TPC) 3D tracking
 - Ring Imaging Cherenkov Counters (RICH) particle ID
 - High Density Projection Chamber (HPC) e.m. calorimeter
- ➡ the largest superconducting coil ever build
 - length 7.4 m, diameter 6.2 meters, 1.2 T field

• DELPHI collaboration

⇒ 550 physicists from 56 universities and institutes from 22 countries

→ Wuppertal: group of Prof. Drees (Prof. Becks)









Detector Construction in Wuppertal

Forward Chamber B (FCB)

- → large "classical" wire chamber for precise charged particle tracking
- detector had 6 wire planes,
 X/U/V geometry for 3D reconstruction
- designed and constructed in Wuppertal, transported to CERN, installed in both end-caps

Iater DELPHI upgrade (1995)

- ➡ contribution to Very Forward Tracker (VFT)
- ➡ first hybrid pixel detector in collider experiment









Commissioning and First Results





• DELPHI had a "rocky" start

- ➡ electrical fault on the magnet during installation
- experiment was late, in particular readout and trigger not ready in time

LEP startup in 1989

- ➡ first beam in machine
 - → pilot run (5 days) started
 - ➡ 1st physics run (2 weeks)
 - first scan of the Z peak !
 - ⇒ 2nd physics run (2 months) October 22nd
 - 2nd scan of the Z peak



EWS

Pandora's box

Chocolate



major commissioning effort

- ➡ but good data was taken, leading to first DELPHI physics papers
- → start of an amazing success story...





July 12th

August 13th

September 22nd

Precision Measurement of the Z Peak

4 million Z decays per experiment

- ➡ hadronic cross section, measured and QED corrected
- → large, but well known radiative corrections

• precision results:

- $\begin{array}{ll} m_{Z} &= 91.1875 \pm 0.0021 \ \text{GeV} \\ \Gamma_{Z} &= 2.4952 \pm 0.0023 \ \text{GeV} \\ \sigma^{0}_{had} = 41.540 \pm 0.037 \ \text{nb} \end{array}$
- ⇒ number of light neutrinos:
 - $N_{\nu} = 2.9840 \pm 0.0082$ (2 \sigma below 3)

• most LEP results better than originally expected !



reaching precision required to allow for many subtle effects



Surprises in LEP Energy Calibration

 LEP energy uncertainty important systematics on M_Z

resonant depolarisation used to measure beam energy

- ➡ calibration outside physics runs
 - extrapolated to different collision points
- → precision $\Delta E < 0.4$ MeV or $\Delta E/E < 10^{-5}$
 - but: large variations detected

earth tides deforming LEP ring

- → tidal earth deformation: 2/3 moon, 1/3 sun
- → total change of circumference $\Delta C \sim 1 \text{ mm}$ and of beam energy $\Delta E \sim 10 \text{ MeV}$

•underground water levels

- CERN
- ⇒ circumference changes by ∆C ~ 2 mm / year results in slow drift on LEP energy







The "Train Effect"

•unexpected short term fluctuations

- \Rightarrow up to $\Delta E \sim 5$ MeV over the day, quiet periods at night
 - human activity ! (but which one ?)
- → explanation given by Swiss electric company
 - vagabond currents from trains, known since 1898 !!!

•french DC railway Geneva-Bellegarda

➡ DC current of 1 A flowing on LEP vacuum chamber



measurements of

- current on railway tracks
- current on vacuum chamber
- dipole field in LEP magnets
- ➡ correlated perfectly
- final systematics of ±1.7 MeV on m_Z
 - ➡ still dominating

Markus Elsing







• LEP-I yielded huge harvest of electroweak precision results:



→ combined LEP-I results: m [GoV] = 91.1875

91.1875 ± 0.0021
2.4952 ± 0.0023
41.540 ± 0.037
20.767 ± 0.025
0.01714 ± 0.00095
0.1465 ± 0.0032
0.21629 ± 0.00066
0.1721 ± 0.0030
0.0992 ± 0.0016
0.0707 ± 0.0035
0.2324 ± 0.0012

- new quality compared to pre-LEP situation
 (e.g. lepton axial and vector couplings)
- ➡ results and interpretation of electroweak data will be discussed by **next speaker !**



LEP EWWG

LEP-II opened new Physics Programme



•machine reached energies well above WW threshold

- → precision measurement of W mass, branching ratios, etc.
- → study di-fermion production well above Z pole
- ➡ processes like γγ, Zγ*, ZZ, WWγ, Zee, Weu...
- ➡ searches for Higgs boson and new physics

measured WW cross-section

- ➡ different final states
 - WW→lulu ~ leptonic channel
 - WW→qqlu ~ semi-leptonic channel
 - WW $\rightarrow q\overline{q}q\overline{q} \sim fully hadronic channel$
- ➡ results illustrate "effect" of γWW and ZWW vertices
- → cross-section at threshold sensitive to W mass

 $m_W = 80.42 \pm 0.20$ (stat.) ± 0.03 (E_{LEP}) GeV

• statistically dominated !





W Mass from direct Reconstruction



ereconstruct mass spectrum from final state particles

- \Rightarrow spectrum as well sensitive to W width (Γ_W)
- → kinematic fits to improve experimental resolution
 - WW $\rightarrow q\overline{q}lv \sim missing momentum from neutrino$
 - WW $\rightarrow q\overline{q}q\overline{q} \sim 4$ jets give 3 combinations









Enabling Data Analysis in Wuppertal



- group got VAX cluster to analyse DELPHI data
 - → but: DST format too large to store in Wuppertal

•invention of the "Wuppertal Mini"

- → reduced data format stored on cluster
- → software skeleton + tools for analysis (today this is called an analysis framework)
- → scheme later adopted by DELPHI overall







Tuning Monte Carlo Generators



- Monte Carlo generators and detector simulation
 - → to unfold e.g. fragmentation effects in hadronic final states and detector effects
- •generators modelling $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow q\overline{q} \rightarrow hadronic$ final states
 - → assumption that process can be factorised into 4 phases:



- (I) hard electroweak production process
 - ⇒ cross-section calculate in perturbation theory
- (II) partonic phase governed by perturbative QCD
- → matrix elements, parton shower models
 (III) fragmentation into stable hadrons
- ⇒ phenomenological string or cluster models (IV) decay of long lived particles
 - ⇒known branching ratios (+modelling)

Tuning of Monte Carlo Generators



→ many free parameters, need to be tuned to data

• complex optimisation problem

- ⇒ parameters usually are highly correlated
- ➡ re-computing observables for each variation is CPU intensive

•parametrisation-based tuning approach

→ sample parameter space, model variation of observables, fit model to data distributions





oarameter variation

observable



parametrisation



Properties of Quark and Gluon Jets





- QCD : $C_A / C_F = 2.25 \sim$ higher rate for gluon Bremsstrahlung in gluon jets
- → precise measurement of coupling ratio allows to determine QCD gauge group
 - can be extracted from comparing hadron multiplicities in quark and gluon jets
 - non perturbative / color coherence effects and hadronisation are important





 $C_{\Delta}/C_{\rm F}$

 next speaker (Dr. Klaus Mönig) will cover more of the exciting physics we did in Wuppertal with DELPHI

In the second second

"When I look back at our DELPHI times, I remember Klaus for what he did for the installation and the commissioning of FCB (actually mostly before my time), as well as his many contributions to the data analysis and physics in Wuppertal. It strikes me that for many of us Klaus was not simply a supervisor. With his help, expertise and support he was mentoring our young scientific careers. His welcoming and positive attitude helped creating a 'group feeling' that I felt was unique."

All the best for your future, Klaus !



Many thanks to Susanne Kersten, Karl-Heinz Becks and others for providing material for this talk...