Markus Elsing

Tracking at the LHC (Part 0)

Introduction and Outline of Lecture Series





Introduction

• broad physics program covered by LHC experiments

- → 2 general purpose p-p experiments (ATLAS and CMS) cover: SM QCD/W/Z/top, Higgs, SUSY, Exotics, (b-physics) ...
- → LHCb as dedicated b-physics experiment (forward physics)
- ➡ ALICE as a heavy ion experiment

• detectors designed to optimize physics performance

- → at design luminosities (10³⁴ cm⁻²s⁻¹) and pileup (~23 min.bias events)
- ➡ b-physics trigger (LHCb)
- → heavy ion "central" event multiplicities (ALICE)

• task of event reconstruction is to identify objects

- \Rightarrow e/µ/ τ leptons, photons, (b) jets, missing E_T, exclusive hadronic states...
- → input to physics analysis of complete event signature







Event Reconstruction "in a Nutshell"





















 $ZZ^* \rightarrow 4\mu$ candidate

... a bit more complicated



Run Number: 183081, Event Number: 10108572 Date: 2011-06-05 17:08:03 CEST

Tracking at the LHC

object reconstruction to cover LHC physics program

- ➡ often requires combining information from tracking detector with calorimetric and muon spectrometer measurements
- → **TRACKING** is a central aspect of the event reconstruction and analysis

requirements on tracking detectors

- precision tracking at LHC luminosities (central heavy ion event multiplicities) with a hermitic detector
- usually Pixel/Strip Detector for precise primary/secondary vertex reconstruction and to provide excellent b-tagging in jets
- → reconstruction of **electrons** (and converted photons)
- ➡ tracking of muons combined with muon spectrometer, good resolution over the full accessible momentum range
- → enable (hadronic) tau, exclusive b- and c-hadron reconstruction
- → provide **particle identification**, e.g.:
 - transition radiation in ATLAS TRT/ALICE TRD for electron identification
 - dE/dx in Pixels/Silicon or ALICE TPC, Cherenkov detectors (LHCb)
- ➡ not to forget: enable fast tracking for (high level) trigger



Evolution of (Silicon) Detectors

- LEP eg. DELPHI (1996)
 - 1.8 m² of silicon
 - 175k readout channels



- 6 m² of silicon
- 175k channels



- CMS tracker
 - full silicon tracker
 - 210 m² of silicon
 - 10.7 M channels

P.Wells



➡ results from huge technology advancements to match requirements of every generation of experiments

Markus Elsing

Example for an LHC Tracking Detector

 answer of the experiments to match physics requirements

• ATLAS:

- → 3 layer **Pixel** system, 3 endcap disks
 - 1744 Pixel modules
 - 80.4 million channels
 - pitch 50 μ m imes 400 μ m
 - total of 1.8 m²
- → 4 layers of small angle stereo strips,
 9 endcap disks each side (SCT)
 - 4088 double sided modules
 - 6.3 million channels
 - pitch 80 μm, 40 mrad stereo angle
 - total of 60 m²
- → Transition Radiation Tracker (TRT)
 - typically 36 hits per track
 - transition radiation to identify electrons
 - total of 370K drift tubes



Outline of Lectures on next 4 Days

- part 1 ~ Passage of Particles through Matter
- part 2 ~ LHC Tracking Detectors
- part 3 ~ Concepts for Track Reconstruction
- part 4 ~ Vertex Reconstruction and its Applications
- part 5 ~ Lessons from early Data Taking



Feedback Welcome !

- after years in this field
 - may take things for granted that in reality are technicalities that need to be explained
- will try to give a balanced overview on tracking and vertexing relevant for all LHC experiments
 - these lectures are written having a general audience of young PhD students in mind
- material is never the less biased towards ATLAS
 - → it's anyway interesting to look outside the box at times...



Feedback Welcome !

- after years in this field
 - may take things for granted that in reality are technicalities that need to be explained
- will try to give a balanced overview on tracking and vertexing relevant for all LHC experiments
 - these lectures are written having a general audience of young PhD students in mind
- material is never the less biased towards ATLAS
 - → it's anyway interesting to look outside the box at times...

CREDITS: tanks for help and material from...



A.Salzburger, G.Herten, D.Froidevaux, M.Hauschild, P.Wells, W.Riegler, R.Mankel, T.Cornelissen, A.Poppleton, A.Strandli, R.Frühwirth, G.Piacquadio, A.Morley and several others I should mention here

Markus Elsing

Tracking at the LHC (Part 1)

Passage of Particles through Matter





Passage of Particles through Matter

- any device that is to detect a particle must interact with it in some way
 - ➡ well, almost...
 - in many experiments neutrinos are measured by missing transverse momentum



Claus Grupen, Particle Detectors, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1996 (455 pp. ISBN 0-521-55216-8)



Outline of Part 1

- overview of charged particle interactions with matter
 - → provide not only the means to detect charged particles
- aim is to understand who they affect the tracking performance
 - ➡ energy loss
 - ➡ multiple scattering
 - ➡ Bremsstrahlung
 - ➡ hadronic interactions



particles are detected through their interaction with the active detector materials



particles are detected through their interaction with the active detector materials

energy loss by ionization





particles are detected through their interaction with the active detector materials





 not directly used for particle identification by ATLAS/CMS



particles are detected through their interaction with the active detector materials

energy loss by ionization



particles are detected through their interaction with the active detector materials

energy loss by ionization

Bremsstrahlung

due to interaction with Coulomb field of nucleus

dominant energy loss mechanism for electrons down to low momenta (~20 MeV)

initiates EM cascades (showers)



particles are detected through their interaction with the active detector materials

energy loss by ionization

Bremsstrahlung



particles are detected through their interaction with the active detector materials

energy loss by ionization

Bremsstrahlung

multiple scattering

charged particles traversing a medium are deflected by many successive small-angle scatters angular distribution ~ Gaussian $\sigma_{MS} \sim 1/p * (x/X_0)^{1/2}$

but also large angles from Rutherford scattering $\sim \sin^{-4}(\theta/2)$

➡ complicates track fitting, limits momentum measurement



particles are detected through their interaction with the active detector materials

energy loss by ionization

Bremsstrahlung

multiple scattering



particles are detected through their interaction with the active detector materials

- energy loss by ionization
 - Bremsstrahlung

multiple scattering

radiation length

material thickness in detector is measured in terms of dominant energy loss reactions at high energies:

- Bremsstrahlung for electrons
- pair production for photons

definition:

- X₀ = length over which an electron loses all but 1/e of its energy by bremsstrahlung
 - = 7/9 of mean free path length of photon before pair production

describe material thickness in units of X_0



- particles are detected through their interaction with the active detector materials
 - energy loss by ionization

Bremsstrahlung

multiple scattering

radiation length

material thickness in detector is measured in terms of dominant energy loss reactions at high energies:

- Bremsstrahlung for electrons
- pair production for photons

definition:

- X₀ = length over which an electron loses all but 1/e of its energy by bremsstrahlung
 - = 7/9 of mean free path length of photon before pair production

describe material thickness in units of X_0

material	<i>X</i> ₀ [cm]
Be	35.3
Carbon-fibre	~ 25
Si	9.4
Fe	1.8
PbWO ₄	0.9
Pb	0.6
ATLAS LAr CMS ECAL absorber crystals	



- particles are detected through their interaction with the active detector materials
 - energy loss by ionization

Bremsstrahlung

multiple scattering

radiation length



particles are detected through their interaction with the active detector materials

- energy loss by ionization
- radiation length

Bremsstrahlung <a>multiple scattering

Cherenkov radiation

a relativistic charge particle traversing a dielectric medium with refraction index $n > 1/\beta$ emits Cherenkov radiation in cone with angle θ_c around track: $\cos\theta_c = (n\beta)^{-1}$





particles are detected through their interaction with the active detector materials

- energy loss by ionization
- Bremsstrahlung

multiple scattering

radiation length

Cherenkov radiation



particles are detected through their interaction with the active detector materials

- energy loss by ionization
- Bremsstrahlung

radiation length

- Cherenkov radiation
- multiple scattering
- transition radiation

photon radiation when charged ultrarelativistic particles traverse the boundary of two different dielectric media (foil & air)





particles are detected through their interaction with the active detector materials

- energy loss by ionization
- Bremsstrahlung

radiation length

- Cherenkov radiation
- multiple scattering
- transition radiation







particles are detected through their interaction with the active detector materials

- energy loss by ionization Bremsstrahlung multiple scattering radiation length Cherenkov radiation transition radiation photon radiation when charged ultra-0.25 relativistic particles traverse the Probability to exceed threshold • pions boundary of two different dielectric 2 GeV 0.2 electrons media (foil & air) ▲ muons 0.15 air foil (polarized) (unpolarized) 180 GeV photons $c_1 = 0.036 \pm 0.001$ 0.1 ~ 8 keV electron with ╋╋ $c_2 = 0.175 \pm 0.002$ 2 GeV **boost** γ + $c_3 = 3.395 \pm 0.010$ $c_4 = 0.258 \pm 0.008$ 0.05 electrical dipole 180 GeV 2 GeV
 - significant radiation for $\gamma > 1000$ and > 100 boundaries



0

10

180 GeV

10⁵

γ factor

10⁴

10³

10²

Effects are visible by Eye...



- give rise to beautiful old bubble-chamber photos
 - \rightarrow energy loss by ionization, δ -electrons, pair production, ...



... as well in modern Detectors





History of Energy Loss Calculations: dE/dx

1915: Niels Bohr, classical formula, Nobel prize 1922.
1930: non-relativistic formula found by Hans Bethe
1932: relativistic formula by Hans Bethe

Bethe's calculation is leading order in perturbation theory, thus only z^2 terms are included.

additional corrections:

- z³ corrections calculated by Barkas+Andersen
- correction calculated by Felix Bloch (Nobel prize 1952, for nuclear magnetic resonance). Although the formula is called Bethe-Bloch formula the z⁴ term is usually not included.



Hans Bethe 1906-2005

Born in Strasbourg, emigrated to US in 1933. Professor at Cornell U. Nobel prize 1967 for theory of nuclear processes in stars.

• shell corrections: atomic electrons are not stationary



 density corrections: by Enrico Fermi (Nobel prize 1938, for discovery of nuclear reaction induced by slow neutrons)

The Bethe-Bloch Formula

$$-\left\langle \frac{dE}{dx}\right\rangle = Kz^2 \frac{Z}{A} \frac{1}{\beta^2} \left[\frac{1}{2}\ln\frac{2m_e c^2 \beta^2 \gamma^2 T_{\max}}{I^2} - \beta^2 - \frac{\delta(\beta\gamma)}{2}\right]$$

 \rightarrow characteristics of the energy loss as a function of the particle velocity ($\beta\gamma$)

➡ with

- *z* ~ charge of incident particle
- Z ~ atomic number of absorber
- *A* ~ atomic mass of absorber

$$\frac{K}{A} = 4\pi N_A r_e^2 m_e c^2 / A = 0.307075 \text{ MeV g}^{-1} \text{cm}^2, \text{ for } A = 1 \text{g mol}^{-1}$$

- I ~ mean excitation energy of absorber
- T_{max} ~ maximum energy transfer in a single collision

$$T_{max} = \frac{2m_{e}c^{2}\beta^{2}\gamma^{2}}{1+2\gamma m_{e}/M + (m_{e}/M)^{2}}$$

• $\delta(\beta\gamma) \sim \text{density effect correction to ionization loss}$



⇒ $x = \rho s$ ~ surface density or mass thickness, with unit g/cm^2 , **s** is the length (*dE/dx* has the units *MeV cm²/g*)

The Bethe-Bloch Formula





Particle Identification using dE/dx

energy loss depends on particle velocity

- \Rightarrow ≈ independent of particle mass M
- as a function of particle momentum
 - \Rightarrow **p** = Mc $\beta\gamma$ depends on particle mass !

• application in an experiment:

- measure momentum from curvature of particle track in magnetic field
- → measure ionization along the track







Fluctuations in Energy Loss



from L. Ropelewski

Real detector (limited granularity) can not measure $\langle dE/dx \rangle$! It measures the energy ΔE deposited in a layer of finite thickness δx .





Multiple Scattering

- a particle which traverses a medium is deflected
 - → by small angle **Coulomb scattering** in field of nuclei
 - ➡ for hadronic particles as well the strong interaction contributes



angular deflection after traversing a distance x

- ➡ described by the Molière theory
- angle has roughly a Gaussian distribution, but with larger tails due to Coulomb scattering
- ➡ Gaussian approximation

$$\Delta \Theta = \frac{13.6 \text{ MeV}}{\beta cp} \ z \ \sqrt{x/X_0} \Big[1 + 0.038 \ln(x/X_0) \Big]$$

- $x/X_0 \sim$ thickness of material in units of radiation length
- z ~ charge of the particle





Illustration of M.S. Effect

• toy simulation

- ➡ simulation of single particle traversing a set of individual thin material layers
 - single scattering steps accumulate







Illustration of M.S. Effect

• toy simulation

- ➡ simulation of single particle traversing a set of individual thin material layers
 - single scattering steps accumulate
- → repeat N times:
 - central limit theorem predicts gaussian distribution







Illustration of M.S. Effect

• toy simulation

- ➡ simulation of single particle traversing a set of individual thin material layers
 - single scattering steps accumulate
- ➡ repeat N times:
 - central limit theorem predicts gaussian distribution

sometimes we experience the effect









magnetic spectrometer

→ charged particle describes <u>circle</u> in a magnetic field

$$p_T[\text{GeV/c}] = 0.3 \cdot B[\text{T}] \cdot R[\text{m}]$$

→ measure sagitta s of arc to determine curvature R

$$R = \frac{L}{2} p_T [GeV/c] = Q.23$$
$$R = \frac{L}{8s} + \frac{L}{2} \approx \frac{Q.23}{8s}$$



• put into upper equation results in $p_T = p_T(s)$

→ relative error on momentum equals relative error on sagitta

$$\frac{\sigma_{p_T}}{p_T} = \frac{8p_T}{0.3BL^2}\sigma_s$$

→ hence relative momentum uncertainty is proportional to



- momentum **p**_T times sagitta uncertainty **o**_s
- ➡ as well, one wants large field B and long path length L

magnetic spectrometer

→ charged particle describes <u>circle</u> in a magnetic field

$$p_T[\text{GeV/c}] = 0.3 \cdot B[\text{T}] \cdot R[\text{m}]$$

→ measure sagitta s of arc to determine curvature R

$$R = \frac{1}{2} p_T [GeV/c] = Q.23$$
$$R = \frac{1}{8s} + \frac{1}{2} \approx \frac{1}{8s}$$



• put into upper equation results in $p_T = p_T(s)$

→ relative error on momentum equals relative error on sagitta

$$\frac{\sigma_{\rho_T}}{\rho_T} = \frac{8p_T}{0.3BL^2}\sigma_s$$

Sagitta uncertainly from **N** points, each with resolution $\sigma_{R\phi}$

$$\sigma_{s} = \sqrt{\frac{A_{N}}{N+4}} \frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{R}\phi}}{8}$$

Statistical factor A_N = 720: (Gluckstern)



- hence relative momentum uncertainty is proportional to momentum p_T times sagitta uncertainty σ_s
- → as well, one wants large field B and long path length L

 multiple scattering contribution to momentum uncertainty:

$$\frac{\sigma_{PT}}{P_T} = \frac{\Delta\Theta}{\Theta} \cong \frac{0.05}{BL} \sqrt{\frac{\mathbf{X}}{X_0}}$$

putting things together gives

$$\frac{\sigma_{PT}}{P_T} = \frac{8p_T\sigma_S}{0.3BL^2} \oplus \frac{0.05}{BL} \sqrt{\frac{\mathbf{x}}{X_0}} \approx a \, p_T \oplus b$$

⇒ a ~ resolution term dominating at high p_T
 b ~ multiple scattering term limiting at low p_T



₿⊗

R-s



$$\frac{\sigma_{PT}}{P_T} = \frac{\Delta\Theta}{\Theta} \cong \frac{0.05}{BL} \sqrt{\frac{\chi}{X_0}}$$

putting things together gives

$$\frac{\sigma_{PT}}{P_T} = \frac{8p_T\sigma_S}{0.3BL^2} \oplus \frac{0.05}{BL} \sqrt{\frac{\mathbf{x}}{X_0}} \approx a \, p_T \oplus b$$

⇒ a ~ resolution term dominating at high p_T
 b ~ multiple scattering term limiting at low p_T



_d/(_d)∆



Effect on Impact Parameter Resolution

uncertainty on the transverse impact parameter d0

- → depends on the radii and space point precision
- → simplified formula for straight line and just two layers

$$\sigma_{d_0}^2 = \frac{r_2^2 \sigma_1^2 + r_1^2 \sigma_2^2}{(r_2 - r_1)^2}$$

→ best performance: small r_1 , large r_2 , small σ_1 and σ_2





Effect on Impact Parameter Resolution

• uncertainty on the transverse impact parameter **d0**

depends on the radii and space point precision

➡ simplified formula for straight line and just two layers

$$\sigma_{d_0}^2 = \frac{r_2^2 \sigma_1^2 + r_1^2 \sigma_2^2}{(r_2 - r_1)^2}$$

→ best performance: small r_1 , large r_2 , small σ_1 and σ_2



• precision is degraded by multiple scattering

$$\Delta d_0 = r \tan \Delta \Theta \approx r \Delta \Theta = r \frac{0.0136}{\beta c p} \sqrt{\frac{x}{X_0}}$$

→ at low momentum scattering
 → best precision if small radius









- constant term describing resolution
- multiple scattering term decreasing with p_T



• for tracks with $\Theta \neq 90^\circ$: $r \rightarrow r/sin\Theta \times x \rightarrow x/sin\Theta$

$$\sigma_{d_0} \approx \sqrt{\frac{r_2^2 \sigma_1^2 + r_1^2 \sigma_2^2}{(r_2 - r_1)^2}} \oplus \frac{r}{p \sin^{3/2} \theta} 13.6 \text{MeV} \sqrt{\frac{x}{x_0}}$$
$$\sigma_{d_0} \approx \alpha \oplus \frac{b}{p_T \sin^{1/2} \theta}$$

- constant term describing resolution
- \bullet multiple scattering term decreasing with p_T







• for tracks with $\Theta \neq 90^\circ$: $r \rightarrow r/sin\Theta \times x \rightarrow x/sin\Theta$

$$\sigma_{d_0} \approx \sqrt{\frac{r_2^2 \sigma_1^2 + r_1^2 \sigma_2^2}{(r_2 - r_1)^2}} \oplus \frac{r}{p \sin^{3/2} \theta} 13.6 \text{MeV} \sqrt{\frac{x}{x_0}}$$
$$\sigma_{d_0} \approx a \oplus \frac{b}{p_T \sin^{1/2} \theta}$$

- constant term describing resolution
- \bullet multiple scattering term decreasing with p_T
- similarly momentum resolution term becomes:

$$\frac{\sigma_{p_T}}{p_T} \approx a \cdot p_T \oplus \frac{b}{\sin^{1/2} \theta}$$







Bremsstrahlung

- charged particle deflected by field of nucleus
 - → deflecting a charged particle means "acceleration"
 - ➡ therefore radiates a photon → Bremsstrahlung
 - → effect is strong for light particles (electrons), as acceleration is large for given force
 - ➡ for heavier particles (muons), bremsstrahlung only important at energies of a few hundred GeV (important for ATLAS/CMS at the LHC!)
 - → presence of a nucleus is required to restore energy-momentum conservation



min

q,M,E-E'

q,M,E

Bremsstrahlung

- charged particle deflected by field of nucleus
 - → deflecting a charged particle means "acceleration"
 - ➡ therefore radiates a photon → Bremsstrahlung
 - → effect is strong for light particles (electrons), as acceleration is large for given force
 - ➡ for heavier particles (muons), bremsstrahlung only important at energies of a few hundred GeV (important for ATLAS/CMS at the LHC!)
 - → presence of a nucleus is required to restore energy-momentum conservation

• Bremsstrahlung proportional to

- Z^2/A and ρ of the material
- q⁴ and 1/M² of incoming particle
- ➡ energy lost ~ proportional to energy of particle:

$$E(x) \approx E_0 e^{-x/X_0} \qquad X_0 \propto \frac{M^2 A}{q^4 \rho Z^2}$$

- radiation length X₀ ~ characteristic amount of material traversed before it looses 1/e of its energy
- Bremsstrahlung of electrons in tracker material is limiting reconstruction efficiency !



important above critical energy E_c



Mauluus Elsing

q,M,E us z,A, Y

Pair-Production



VVVV

e

e

e

• $\gamma \rightarrow e^+e^-$ conversion process in field of nucleus

- described by diagram similar to Bremsstrahlung
- ➡ conversion probability:

$$P(x) \propto e^{-\frac{7}{9}\frac{x}{X_0}}$$

- ➡ radiation length X₀ is 7/9 of mean free path for pair production by a high energy photon
- → pair production in tracker material main source of inefficiency for photons



Pair-Production



VVV

e

e

• $\gamma \rightarrow e^+e^-$ conversion process in field of nucleus

- described by diagram similar to Bremsstrahlung
- ➡ conversion probability:

$$P(x) \propto e^{-\frac{7}{9}\frac{x}{X_0}}$$

- ➡ radiation length X₀ is 7/9 of mean free path for pair production by a high energy photon
- → pair production in tracker material main source of inefficiency for photons
- together they give rise to electromagnetic showers
 - processes contributing to showers, detection in EM calorimeters



Hadronic Interactions

• nuclear interaction length λ : *mean free path of hadrons between strong collisions*

material	λ [cm]
Si	45.5
Fe	16.8
Pb	17.1

interactions with nuclei lead to hadronic (HAD) showers

- $\lambda > X[X_0]$, can separate EM (close) from HAD (far) showers
- detection of HAD showers in hadronic calorimeters



Hadronic Interactions

• nuclear interaction length λ : mean free path of hadrons between strong collisions

material	λ [cm]
Si	45.5
Fe	16.8
Pb	17.1

interactions with nuclei lead to hadronic (HAD) showers

- $\lambda > X[X_0]$, can separate EM (close) from HAD (far) showers
- detection of HAD showers in hadronic calorimeters



a hadronic shower consists of:

- EM energy (e.g., $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$) O(50%)
- non-EM energy (e.g., dE/dx from $\pi^{\pm}, \mu^{\pm}, K^{\pm}$) O(25%)
- invisible energy (nuclear fission/excitation, neutrons) O(25%)
- escaped energy (e.g. neutrinos) O(2%)

hadronic shower in material of tracking detector is main source of inefficiency for pions, kaons and protons !



Effect on Expected Performance

 ATLAS/CMS tracking resolution and efficiency mostly driven by interactions in detector material



Let's Summarize...

 discussed the most relevant physics processes for particles passing through (detector) material

discussed some of the consequences

- → provide the means to detect charged particles and to identify them
 - measuring the ionization of charged particles in a medium (gas, silicon...)
 - detecting transition and Cherenkov radiation
- → as well, limiting factor for the performance of a detector
 - e.g. multiple scattering effects or effects from hadronic interactions...

next is to talk about LHC tracking detectors

